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PaTTAN's Mission

The mission of the Pennsylvania Training and Technical Assistance Network (PaTTAN) is to support the efforts and initiatives of the Bureau of Special Education, and to build the capacity of local educational agencies to serve students who receive special education services.

PDE's Commitment to Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)

Our goal for each child is to ensure Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams begin with the general education setting with the use of Supplementary Aids and Services before considering a more restrictive environment.

Men act upon the world, and change it, and are changed in turn by the consequences of their actions.

B.F. Skinner

Reinforcement

- A consequence of an individual's behavior/response
- Increases the probability of that response (class) occurring again in the future
- Can be positive (i.e. a consequence added to environment) or negative (i.e. a consequence removed)

Unconditioned and Conditioned Reinforcement

Unconditioned reinforcement: effective without prior learning (e.g. food is an example of an unconditioned reinforcer)

Conditioned reinforcement: effective only after a history of being paired with UC (e.g. the sound of a click after being paired with food delivery is an example of an conditioned reinforcer)
What Does Animal Research Have to Do with Human Behavior?

The Operant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Something that happens immediately before a response that changes the likelihood of the response occurring</td>
<td>Anything an individual does that is observable and measurable</td>
<td>Some event that immediately follows the response and alters the future probability of that type of response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: the operant and the first instance

The “Facts in the Bag”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivating Operation (MO)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Specific Reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminative Stimulus ($S^D$)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus Delta ($S^{D}$)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>(Extinction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral Stimulus ($S^+, S^0, or S^-$)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminative Stimulus for Punishment ($S^{Dp}$)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Punishment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Verbal Behavior

Behavior in which the reinforcement is not mediated by other individuals

Verbal Behavior

Behavior in which the reinforcement is mediated by other individuals (i.e. listeners) who had been trained to do so

See Palmer (2008) for more in-depth discussion on Skinner’s definition
The Beauty of Skinner’s Definition

- Functional vs. Formal
- Includes all forms of “communication”
  - Talking
  - Signing
  - Writing
  - Gesturing
  - Morse Code
  - Smoke Signals

The Verbal Operants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivating Operation</td>
<td>MAND</td>
<td>Specific Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Verbal Discriminative Stimu</td>
<td>TACT</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Discriminative Stimulus</td>
<td>ECHOIC SIGNED IMITATION INTRAVERBAL</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motivational Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivating Operation (MO)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Specific Reinforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motivational Control for Turning

Food Deprivation

“Click”

Meet Ebby

Artwork by: Simon Carlucci (Age 16)
### Definitions Revisited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motivating Operation (MO):</strong> Food deprivation establishes the value of bacon as a reinforcer and evokes/strengthens the behavior of turning around, since that behavior has been specifically reinforced with bacon.</td>
<td><strong>Turning Around</strong></td>
<td><strong>Specific Reinforcement:</strong> Bacon, whose value has been specifically increased by food deprivation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Motivational Control for Pecking

- **Yerba Mate Deprivation**
- **“Click”**

### Definitions Revisited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motivating Operation (MO):</strong> Yerba mate deprivation establishes the value of yerba mate as a reinforcer and increases the probability of pecking behavior, since it has been specifically reinforced with yerba mate.</td>
<td><strong>Pecking</strong></td>
<td><strong>Specific Reinforcement:</strong> Yerba mate, whose value has been specifically increased by the yerba mate deprivation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Motivational Control and Verbal Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivating Operation</td>
<td>MAND</td>
<td>Specific Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### The Mand

- **SPECIFIES** to a listener the reinforcement that is currently valuable to the speaker.
- Types of consequences that are specified by manders:
  - Items present
  - Actions
  - Assistance
  - Removal of unpleasant stimuli
  - Missing items
  - The attention of another
  - Items with specific properties
  - Items in specific locations
  - Information
What is a stimulus?

An item or event in one’s environment that directly affects his or her senses.

What is Stimulus Control?

Antecedent | Behavior | Consequence
--- | --- | ---
Discriminative Stimulus (S^D) | Response | Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement
Stimulus Delta (S^D) | Response | Extinction
Neutral Stimulus (S^N, S^0, or S^-) | n/a | n/a
Discriminative Stimulus for Punishment (S'^D) | Response | Punishment

Thought Experiment

“What’s the kanux, man!”

Antecedent | Behavior | Consequence
--- | --- | ---
Discriminative Stimulus (S^D) | “What’s the kanux, man!” | Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement
Stimulus Delta (S^D) | “What’s the kanux, man!” | Extinction
Neutral Stimulus (S^N, S^0, or S^-) | n/a | n/a
Discriminative Stimulus for Punishment (S'^D) | “What’s the kanux, man!” | Punishment

“The Will Smith Effect”
## Discriminative Stimulus (S<sup>D</sup>) Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discriminative Stimulus (S&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Discriminative Stimulus Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discriminative Stimulus (S&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement: A consequence that increases the future probability of the behavior it follows as a result of its previous pairings with many other forms of reinforcement and its effectiveness is relatively independent of current states of MO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Definitions Revisited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discriminative Stimulus (S&lt;sup&gt;D&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>Turning Around</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement: The sound of the click increases the future probability of turning around in the presence of the red disk as a result of its previous pairings with bacon, girlfriends, yerba mate tea, etc. and is still effective regardless of the current MO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Conditioned Reinforcement

- When a conditioned reinforcer (e.g., “click”) is paired with only one type of unconditioned reinforcer (e.g., food pellet), its effectiveness depends on motivation for the unconditioned reinforcer.

## Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement

- If that conditioned reinforcer is paired with many types of other reinforcers, then its reinforcing effectiveness is relatively independent of certain types of motivations.
In other words...
When the sound of the click was only paired with bacon, it’s reinforcing value depended on Ebby’s motivation for bacon, but when the click is paired with many different kinds of reinforcers (e.g. bacon, Yerba Mate, girlfriends, praise, money, etc.), it becomes a generalized conditioned reinforcer and its effectiveness does not depend on any momentary motivation for a particular reinforcer.

Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement and Stimulus Control

The more reinforcers that are paired with a conditioned reinforcer, the stronger the antecedent stimulus control will be in evoking the behavior. For example, look at, but don’t read, the following slide...

Weren’t you asked not to read this?

Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement

Stimulus Delta ($S^A$) Control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus Delta ($S^A$)</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Extinction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eventual result is a weakening of that response in the presence of the $S^A$.

“The Cillian Murphy Effect”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stimulus Delta ($S^A$)</td>
<td>“What’s the kanux, man!”</td>
<td>Extinction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Stimulus Delta Control**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stimulus Delta</strong> ($S^\Delta$): An antecedent stimulus that has been historically correlated to the unavailability of reinforcement and weakens any behavior that in the past has led to extinction</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td><strong>Extinction:</strong> The absence of reinforcement following a previously reinforced behavior and leads to an overall weakening of the behavior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definitions Revisited**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stimulus Delta</strong> ($S^\Delta$): The blank disk has been historically correlated to the unavailability of reinforcement following turning behavior and weakens the behavior as a result of extinction.</td>
<td>Turning Around</td>
<td><strong>Extinction:</strong> The absence of bacon, yerba mate, and lady-pigeons following turning behavior has led to an overall weakening of the behavior.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discriminative Stimulus ($S^D$) Control for Pecking**

- **“Click”**

- **Etc.**

**Stimulus Control**

- **Fake Pigeon Turning Data**

**Stimulus Delta ($S^\Delta$) Control for Pecking**

- **No Bacon, Yerba Mate, or Lady-Pigeons**
### Definitions Revisited

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discriminative Stimulus (S^D)</strong>: The green disk has been historically correlated to the availability of generalized conditioned reinforcement and evokes or strengthens pecking behavior</td>
<td>Pecking</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement: The sound of the click increases the future probability of pecking in the presence of the green disk as a result of its previous pairings with bacon, girlfriends, yerba mate tea, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stimulus Delta (S^∆)</strong>: The blank disk has been historically correlated to the unavailability of reinforcement following pecking and weakens the behavior as a result of extinction</td>
<td>Pecking</td>
<td>Extinction: The absence of bacon, yerba mate, and lady-pigeons following pecking has led to an overall weakening of the pecking behavior</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Stimulus Control

Outside the Skinner Box

- Behavior: Approaching your boss at work

In the Classroom

- Behavior: Approaching the instructor
Stimulus Control and Verbal Behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Verbal Discriminative Stimulus</td>
<td>TACT</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Discriminative Stimulus</td>
<td>ECHOIC</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Verbal vs. Verbal Stimulus Control

Response vs. Response Produced Stimulus

- **Response**: Any action performed by an individual
- **Response Produced Stimulus**: The sensory product (i.e. sound or sight) of that action

Verbal Response vs. Verbal Stimulus

- **Verbal Response**: Any action of an organism that is the result of and maintained by reinforcement mediated by a listener
  - Vocally manding “water” to a host
  - Manding by sign “candy”
  - Knocking on a door to be let in
- **Verbal Stimulus**: The sensory product of a verbal response
  - The sound of the vocal mand “water”
  - The sight of the signed mand “candy”
  - The sound of someone knocking on the door

Verbal Stimulus vs. Non-Verbal Stimulus

- **Verbal Stimulus**: The sensory product of a verbal response
  - The sound of the vocal mand “water”
  - The sight of the signed mand “candy”
  - The sound of someone knocking on the door
- **Non-Verbal Stimulus**: The sensory product of a non-verbal response and other properties of the non-verbal environment
  - The sight of a glass of water
  - The taste of a candy bar
  - The sight of a door
  - The sound of someone’s footsteps
Verbal Stimulus Control

- **Verbal Stimulus Control**: When a verbal stimulus evokes or strengthens a response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hearing someone ask for “water”</td>
<td>Getting the Water</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing someone mand “candy”</td>
<td>Getting “candy”</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeling the braille pattern for the word CAR</td>
<td>Saying “car”</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing someone knock on the door</td>
<td>Opening the door</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Verbal Stimulus Control

- **Non-Verbal Stimulus Control**: When a non-verbal stimulus controls a response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seeing a glass of water</td>
<td>Bringing the water to one's lips</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing your favorite wrapped candy bar</td>
<td>Unwrapping the wrapper</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing a truck drive by</td>
<td>Saying “truck”</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeing a keyhole in your door knob</td>
<td>Inserting and turning the key</td>
<td>GCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Verbal Stimulus Control and the Tact

- **Non-Verbal Stimulus Control and the Tact**: SPECIFIES for a listener the discriminative stimulus that controls the response (as opposed to the mand that specifies the reinforcer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Verbal Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Verbal Discriminative Stimulus</td>
<td>TACT</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stimuli that are Tacted

- Items
- Others’ Actions
- Our Own Actions
- Properties of Items (parts, features)
- Stimuli in different sense modes
- Private Events

Verbal Stimulus Control and the Echoic

- **Verbal Stimulus Control and the Echoic**: Auditory Verbal Discriminative Stimulus (vocal response whose form matches antecedent) controls the response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Verbal Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Auditory Verbal Discriminative Stimulus</td>
<td>ECHOIC</td>
<td>Generalized Conditioned Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Echoic Skills

- Simple Sounds
- Words
- Phrases
- Novel Arrangements of Words
- Rehearsal of Complex Utterances
- Volume
- Tone/Pitch/Prosody

Verbal Stimulus Control and the Intraverbal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antecedent</th>
<th>Verbal Behavior</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verbal Discriminative Stimulus</td>
<td>INTRAVERBAL</td>
<td>Generalized Reinforcement Mediated by a Listener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(verbal response whose form does NOT match antecedent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intraverbal Skills

- Simple Fill-Ins
- Chains (Songs, Poems, Speeches)
- Complex Intraverbal Control:
  - Conversation
  - Recalling Past Events
  - Telling Stories

Pure Stimulus Control?

- Lying
- Exaggerating
- Misperceptions
- Pseudo-Sciences
- Ulterior Motives
- Recall
- Multiple Control

The Multiple Control of Verbal Behavior

“Skinner’s discussion of multiple control is easily overlooked. Readers sometimes fail to recognize that pure forms of the respective verbal operants are rare outside the laboratory or instructional contexts, and a common preoccupation of students is to try to classify utterances as one or another verbal operant on the assumption that the example must be exclusively one type.” (Michael, Palmer, and Sundberg, 2011)

Conditional Discrimination Defined

“In conditional discrimination, the effect of a discriminative stimulus depends (or is conditional upon) on other stimuli.”

(Michael, Palmer, and Sundberg 2011)
Conditional Discrimination for Turning

In a simple discrimination, a green light is a discriminative stimulus for what behavior?

In a conditional discrimination, reinforcement for stepping on the gas is conditional upon a clear path in front of your car.

Verbal Conditional Discrimination

In a verbal conditional discrimination (VCD), the effect of a discriminative stimulus depends (or is conditional upon) on other verbal stimuli.

“Simon says, clap your hands”

Simon Says that “Clap Your Hands” is an SD

• VCD: The effect of the verbal stimulus “clap your hands” as an SD depends upon the verbal stimulus: “Simon Says”

Conditional Discrimination Simplified*

Simple Discrimination:
If X-Then Y

Conditional Discrimination:
If X, and If Y - then Z

* Credit goes to Dr. Mark Sundberg for this description
Simon Says

• **IF** you hear someone say “Simon says” and
• **IF** you hear him say “clap your hands,”
• **THEN** clap your hands

Examples of Conditional Stimulus Control

• Putting on a folded undershirt rather than one in the dirty hamper
• When you are at the gas station but you drive past the pump with an orange cone in front of it, and pull up to the one without a cone
• Tacting an item loudly for your grandfather who is hard of hearing, but quietly for someone in a library

Instructional Verbal Conditional Discriminations

• What is it?
• What color?
• What shape?

Other Multiple Control Topics

• Joint Stimulus Control
• Stimulus Equivalence
• Understanding Literature
• Listening to a Speaker (e.g. your behavior during this talk)
• Engaging in a Conversation
• Recalling Events from Your Past

Multiple Control and Memory

Check out Dr. David Palmer’s session #49 this afternoon to see how these basic principles can explain the complex phenomena we call “memory”

Transfer of Stimulus Control
Transfer of Stimulus Control

As a result of reinforcing a previously established response to a discriminative stimulus (S\textsuperscript{D}) in the presence of a neutral stimulus (S\textsuperscript{+}), the S\textsuperscript{+} eventually acquires S\textsuperscript{D} control over that response.

Revisit the Definition

As a result of reinforcing turning in response to the red color (S\textsuperscript{D}), and in the presence of the neutral textual pattern TURN (S\textsuperscript{+}), the textual pattern (S\textsuperscript{+}) eventually acquires discriminative stimulus (S\textsuperscript{D}) control over that response.

Transfer of Stimulus Control and Teaching

Error-“less” Learning

I can’t do it
The History of Errorless Learning

Terrace (1963):
Discrimination learning with and without “errors”

Trial-and-Error Learning

Errorless Learning

Marsh and Johnson (1968):
Discrimination reversal learning learning without “errors”

“Out with the Old and In with the New”
After discriminations were acquired, the researchers reversed the stimuli to measure rate of unlearning the “old” and learning the “new” discriminations

There should be statues of Terrace’s pigeons in front of every school of education.”
Murray Sidman
Results

• **Errorless Learning Group:** Persisted in responding to the “old” SD despite extinction

• **Trial-and-Error Learning Group:** Rapidly adapted to changing conditions and learned new discriminations

---

Errorless vs. Trial-and-Error

• **Errorless Learning:** Best for circumstances that are relatively unchanging (e.g. 2+2 always equals 4, crossing the street)
  - Reinforcement occurs more frequently
  - Learning is more enjoyable
  - Best for developing foundational skills

• **Trial-and-Error Learning:** Best for circumstances that are relatively unstable and require problem-solving for accurate responding (e.g. finding items at a grocery store, complex social skills)
  - Necessarily involves extinction schedules
  - Learning can be more frustrating
  - Best for skills requiring problem solving

---

Bottom Line

When teaching basic foundational skills to learners, errorless learning will result in:

- Faster rates of acquisition
- Higher likelihood of independent instructional settings and stimuli being paired with reinforcement
- Stronger repertoires of building blocks toward complex tasks that require problem-solving

---

Applied Research in Errorless Learning

Roth (2002): Teaching dolphins to select pictures in response to recorded dolphin whistles with few errors

Also, much of the research conducted by Karen Pryor

Touissant (2011): Teaching tactual discrimination of Braille characters to beginning Braille readers
Applied Research in Errorless Learning

Benbassat and Abramson (2002): Errorless discrimination in simulated landing flares

De Ward, Boelen, Olde Rikkert, and Kessels (2013): Errorless learning of everyday tasks in people with dementia

Applied Research in Errorless Learning


Terms for Errorless Procedures

• **Neutral Stimulus** ($S^* \text{ or } S^0$): Stimuli targeted for $S^D$ or $S^A$

• **Prompt Stimulus**: Discriminative Stimuli used to pair with $S^*$ and eventually fade out

Types of Errorless Learning

Mueller, Palkovic, and Maynard (2007):

• Response Prevention
• Delayed Prompting
• Stimulus Shaping
• Stimulus Fading

Response Prevention

**Definition**: In a discrimination procedure, blocking access to selecting the targeted $S^A$ ($S^*$) and ensuring an undisrupted path only to the targeted $S^D$ ($S^*$)
Delayed Prompting

**Definition:** After presenting a targeted stimulus ($S^*$), the prompt stimulus ($S^0$) is presented at gradually increasing intervals allowing time for independent responding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Time Interval</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 seconds</td>
<td>Picture of a leaf + “What is it?” + “Leaf”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 second</td>
<td>Picture of a leaf + “What is it?” + 1 Second Pause + “Leaf”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 seconds</td>
<td>Picture of a leaf + “What is it?” + 2 Second Pause + “Leaf”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 seconds</td>
<td>Picture of a leaf + “What is it?” + 3 Second Pause + “Leaf”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stimulus Shaping

**Definition:** Systematically making changes to an established prompt stimulus $S^0$ until it is transformed into a targeted $S^0$ ($S^*$).

Stimulus Fading

**Definition:** After reinforcing a response to prompt stimulus ($S^0$) that is paired with the neutral stimulus ($S^*$), the prompt stimulus is systematically faded away.

Stimulus Fading and Intensive Teaching

**Errorless Procedure:**
- **PROMPT**
- **TRANSFER**
- **DISTRACTOR**
- **CHECK**
A great deal of the unrest among students today can be traced to a slow recognition that somehow or other they are not actually being taught. They are simply held responsible for learning.

-B.F. Skinner-