

Children with Autism Spectrum Disorders

William Sharp, Ph.D. Instructor of Pediatrics Emory University / Pediatric Psychology and Feeding Disorders Program The Marcus Autism Center







Pediatric Feeding Disorders No human activity has greater biological and social significance than eating · Develops seemingly automatically most children The type and amount of food children eat changes significantly over the + By 4-6 months, semisolid foods (baby cereal, pureed food) are added · By 8 months of age, children begin to show interest in feeding themselves (reaching for the spoon) · Between 12 and 24 months, children begin to eat the same things as the rest of their family and begin to develop preferences for certain · At 18 months, toddlers learn to feed themselves with a spoon · By 24 months they begin to learn the social skills around eating



Pediatric Feeding Disorders

Between 3% and 10% of children develop chronic feeding issues exceeding ordinary developmental variation (Kerwin, 1999).

· Pediatric Feeding Disorder: Failure to eat a sufficient quantity and/or variety of food resulting in chronic malnutrition, poor weight gain and/or weight loss diagnosed before age six years in the absence of an active organic complaint (American Psychiatric Association, 2002).





Marcus AUTISM CENTER	Feeding - Problem Description
	 Medical Issues (Babbitt et al., 1994; Sanders et al., 1993) 1) metabolic abnormalities or defects in absorption that accompany conditions such as cystic fibrosis, mitochondrial disease, short bowel syndrome or lactose intolerance 2) <u>gastrointestinal issues</u> involving persistent emesis and/or diarrhea (e.g., gastroesophageal reflux, gastroenteritis, dysmotility)
	 3) <u>structural or anatomical defects</u> (e.g., bronchopulmonary dysplasia, malrotated intestine, micrognathia) 4) <u>oral motor deficits</u> (dysphagia) 5) <u>hypersensitivity</u> to food tastes, smells and textures
9	



Chain of feedi	ng behaviors
Step	Disruption
Preparing/Securing Food	famine, poverty, neglect
Bringing food to Mouth (Self or Non-self)	head turns, batting at spoon, aggressions, crying, screaming, elopement
Accepting	teeth clenching, head turning, lip pursing
Processing (e.g., Hold in Mouth, Chew, Move to Back of Mouth)	expulsion, tongue retraction
Swallowing/Digestion	packing, gagging, vomiting

	Behaviora	l Elements- 2 factor model
Function: Pa behaviors are Classical Cor	st research suggest e escape maintaine nditioning	that many of these ed (Piazza et al. 2003)
US	\longrightarrow	UR
CS		CR
Reflux/Pain Food		Escape/Avoidance Escape/Avoidance

Once mea due to ope	lical issues is res erant conditioning	Opera solve, problem be	ant Conditioning
		Environment	
		Add	Remove
Behavior	Increase	Positive Reinforcement	Negative Reinforcement
	Decrease	Positive Punishment	Negative Punishment
 Family system involves c 	stem also disrupt aregivers	ed and learning	process also













Comprehensive Literature Review Solution Goal: Systematically review the literature regarding feeding difficulties associated with autism spectrum disorders (ASD), focusing on methodology, participants, and outcomes Method: MedLine, PsychINFO, and PubMed databases Search parameters included combinations of key words regarding: Target population - autism, autistic, autism spectrum disorders, pervasive developmental disorder [PDD], Asperger's Method distance interded variables.

- Mealtime-related variables diet, dietary intake, eating, feeding, food selectivity, nutrition, mealtime behaviors, pediatric feeding disorder
- Evaluation methodology assessment, mealtime observation, food frequency









Study	Prec	edure.		Setting		De	sign	Ee	ding N	leasure	<u>60*</u>	Δ	SD Dia	encolic	Indicate	e*.	Ant	hrepon	etric D:	ala ^e
	Prospective	Chart Review	Community Wide	Diagnostic Claic / Early Intervention	Officer	Descriptive Study	Comparison Study	Standar davd Questionna ires	Estimates of Nutrifional Intuke	Meabline Observation	Study Spec Fie Questionnaire	Pare at Report	ASD Rating Scale	Clinical Provider	ADOS	Not Specified	Reported (Y/N)	Weight	Bolght	The second se
Aheam et al. (2001)	х			x		х				х				x						
Comish (1998)	x		x			x	X		x					х	х		X	х	х	
Comish (2002)	х		х			х			х							х				
Emond et al. (2010)	х		х				х		х		X			х			х	х	х	- >
Field et al. (2003)		х			х		x				X					х	x			- >
Herndon et al. (2009)	X		х				x		x				x		x					
Ho et al. (1997)	÷.			÷		x	~		×.		~			х	~		×.	х	x	- 2
Karmin et al. (2005)	÷.		v	~		v	~		^		÷.	v			~					
Klien & Nowak (1999)	^	x	^		x	x x					ŝ	^				х				
Levy et al. (2007)	x				x	x			х		x		х	х			x	х	х	
Lockner et al. (2008)	x			х		1	х		х		x	1				х	1			
Luckens & Linsheid (2008)	х		х				х	х	х			х					x	х	х	
Martins et al. (2008)	х			х			х	х			x	х	х							
Matson et al. (2009)	х		х				x				х		х							
Nadon et al. (2010)	X		х				X				X			x						
Provost et al. (2010)	×.			X			x				X			x						
Kanen & Mussiro (1986) Schenek et al. (2002)	÷.		v	х			x	v	x		- ^	v	x			х	1 v .	v	v	
Whitelev et al. (2003)	÷.		÷.			x	~	<u>^</u>	^		x	1 ^ I	2	x			1.	^	^	
Williams et al. (2005)	L°.	х	1.		х	1 °	х		х		x			x			x	х	х	
Williams et al. (2000)	x		x			x					x					х	1			
Schmitt et al. (2008)	х		х				х		х		x					х	x	х	х	- 2
Shearer et al. (1982)	X		1		х	1	х	1	x			1				х				

Study	ASD Gro	ep.											Compari	ius G	reep		
	Sample Size	<u>A</u> 2	ito Dia Sceake	rnoti ier n			Apri	Months)			Gente		Sample Sim		Sahty	*	
		Reported (V/N)	Autistic Discorder	80N - 005	A sperger Syndrome	Reported (V/N)	Mean	SD.	Runge	Reported (V/N)	Made (%)	Frende (%)		Typkul Peers	Developmental Disability	Shine Other	
Ahearn et al. (2001)	30	х	21	. 9	0	х			45 - 170	х	22 (73%)	8 (27%)		-			
Bandini et al. (2010)	53					х	80.4	28.8		х	44 (83%)	9 (17%)	53	х			
Cornish (1998)	17					х			42-117								
Cornish (2002)	37					х			36 - 196	х	31 (84%)	6 (16%)					
Emond et al. (2000)	79					х	6, 15, 24, 38, 54*						12901			х	
Field et al. (2003)	26					х			1 - 144				67**		х		
Herndon et al. (2009)	46	х	45	1	0	х	55.9	13.9	33 - 96	х	44 (96%)	2 (4%)	31	х			
Ho et al. (1997)	54					х	160			х	45 (83%)	9 (17%)					
Johnson et al. (2008)	19					х	39.2	8.98	24 - 48				15	х			
Kerwin et al. (2005)	89	х	35	46	8	х	104.5	41.4	36 - 204	х	70 (79%)	19 (21%)					
Klien & Nowak (1999)	43					х	162	70.8	48 - 312	х	39 (91%)	4 (9%)					
Levy et al. (2007)	52					х			44 - 104	х	50 (96%)	2 (4%)					
Lockner et al. (2008)	20					х			36-60				20	х			
Luckens & Linsheid (2008)	68					х	72.8	29.8	36 - 132	х	56 (82%)	12 (18%)	-40	х			
Martins et al. (2008)	41					х	85.2	34.4	36 - 132	х	34 (83%)	7 (17%)	55**	х		х	
Matson et al. (2009)	112	х	72	40	0	х			36 - 192				167**	х	х		
Nadon et al. (2010)	48					х	94.8	30	45.6 - 154.8	х	44 (92%)	4 (8%)	-48			х	
Provost et al. (2000)	24					х	51.2	10.6	36 - 70	х	18 (75%)	6 (25%)	24	х			
Raiten & Massaro (1986)	40					х	127	52		х	28 (70%)	12 (30%)	34	х			
Schreck et al. (2003)	138	1				х	100	29	53 - 152	х	121(88%)	14 (10%)	298	х			
Whiteley et al. (2000)	100	х	79	21	0	х	87		28 - 195	х	81 (81%)	19 (19%)	1				
Williams et al. (2005)	64	1				х	61		24 - 149	х	58 (91%)	6 (9%)	114++	х	х		
Williams et al. (2000)	100	х	50	7	0	х			22 - 120				1				
Schmitt et al. (2008)	20	х	10	3	4	х			84 - 120	х	20 (100%)	0 (0%)	18	х	х		
Shearer et al. (1982)	12	X	12			Х	100.8	7.2					12	х	X		
N	1332	8	8	7	÷.	25	17	12	20	17				13	3	2.1	

CENTER	Summery of Outcomes	
	 23 studies (92%) measured food selectivity 	
	 All but one reported atypical feeding concerns in a majority of the participants 	
	 All comparison studies indicated ASD children experienced significantly more feeding concerns (p < .05) 	
	Prevalence?	
	 Multiple methods of assessment: single items, group differences, mealtime observation, review of food diaries 	
	 12 studies (48%) investigated nutritional status 	
	 5 studies (42%) reported vitamin/mineral deficiencies 	
	 Lockner, Crowe, & Skipper (2008) – Children with ASD were significantly more likely to be taking vitamin/mineral supplements 	
	 Highlights importance of controlling for vitamin/mineral supplements 	

CUS

Clinical and Research Implications

- Definitive conclusions regarding the topography, etiology, impact and treatment of feeding problems in ASD are limited
 - · Lack of standardized measures
 - · Inconsistent methodology
- · Strong need to establish clinical and research standards in this area
- Atypical eating patterns and ASD may be linked with a number of negative outcomes, including:
 - Nutrient inadequacy (Bandini et al., 2010)
 - Decreased bone density (Hediger et al., 2007)
 - · Social impact: parent stress (Greer et al., 2007); modifying family routine
- May also inform the use of dietary manipulations (e.g., GFCF diet)









Description	Standardization Sample	Psychometric Properties
Si tem mesure developed as a measure of mealine behavior problems in tyrically developing children and children presenting to a clinic with feeding difficulties Too main areas assessed in terms of <u>finamery and problems (+ cores</u> total)- 1). <u>Child behavior</u> (23 terms) - Venamery, Description of child's behavior during meals rated on a five-point Liker scale from never to always b. Problem: pure in a sko asked whether or not the behavior is a problem by YESNO responding	Total Sample – 345 Age range: "Prombin to 7 years Subgroups- 1.) Normative (m = 96): Non-clinical children recursited during appointments at their physicians office 2.) Clinical Jonon medical (m = 95): Children presenting a al Foreidag and Narinito Clinic spectra and the state of the state of the state 3.) Clinical medical (m = 154): Children presenting a al Foreidag and Marinico Clinic with countributing medical factors	Internal Consistency: For all protops, the value for the enrine scale was. To and was. 78 for just the combined distincial proper (n= 249) Constarct Validity: Estimated marginal means for the nonstaries group was significantly lower than both clinical group on all measures ($p = 0.01$)
2.) Parent feeling/ strategies frequency (10) itema). a Frequency: Description of feeling about or parent strategies during meals rated on a five-point Lister scale from never to always b. Problem: Parent is also asked whether or not the feeling or strategy is a problem through YES/NO responding		

Description	Standardization Sample	Psychometric Properties
40 item measure intended to assess eating and mealtime problems across a wide variety of children with medical and developmental issues Provides two indices- 1. <u>Total Earling Problems Score</u> : Measures the frequency of different eating behaviors through a 5-point rating scale 2. <u>Total Perceived Problems Score</u> : Evaluates whether or not a behavior represents a problem for the family through YES/NO responding	Total Sample = 316 Subgroups- 1.) Non-clinical (n = 206): Typically developing children recruited through community family physicain's offices. Mean age: 5.9 years (SD = 3.1) 2.) Clinical (n = 110): Involved children at risk for feeding issues based on their developmental or medical history. including a subsample of children with autism. Mean age: 7.1 years (SD = 3.32)	Tesr/Retest Reliability: 87 for Total Eating Problems: 84 for the Perceived Problems Score Internal Consistency: Values for different subgroups were at acceptable limits (i.e., above .70) for the exception of the single parent more than one child subgroup at .58 Construct validity: Total eating behavior and perceived problem scores higher for clinical sample ($p < .0001$)

		Revised	Child	ren's L	Eatin	g Beh cher	avior	Invent	ory		
		но	W OFT	EN D	DES TH	IIS HA	PPEN?				
	NEVER 1	SELDOM 2	SOMI	3	ES	OFT	EN	ALV	VAYS 5		
									Is th prob for y	is a lem ou?	
1.	My child chews as expected for l	food 11s/her age.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
2.	My child enjoys	eating.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
3.	My child asks for he/she shouldn't	r food which have.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
4.	My child feeds I expected for his	nim/her self as 'her age.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
5.	My child gags a	t mealtimes.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
6.	I feel confident	my child eats en	ough.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
7.	My child vomits	at mealtimes.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
8.	My child takes f without asking.	ood between m	eals	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
9.	My child chokes	at mealtimes.		1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	
10	My child makes self when not all	foods for him/h lowed.	her	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO	

(STEP; Matson & Kuhn, 200										
Description The measure intended for use in identifying feeding problems among individuals with metal resturbation (MR) Vields a total scale score, eight factors, and the individual of the score of the score of the 1-) <u>Aveiration risk</u> (2 items): Items addressing addressing multismistion and vomiting 2.) <u>Sciencing</u> (5 items): Items addressing sciencing (10 items): Items addressing addressing multismistic 3.) <u>Aveing and the necessity for</u> adprive explorement 4.) <u>Food efficient related behaviory problems</u> (5 <u>starbissing</u> addition (2 items); thems adprive the science of the science of the science of the adpression of the science of the science of the science of the <u>science</u> (1 items); then a science of the science of the <u>science</u> (1 items); then a science of the science of the <u>science</u> (1 items); then a science of the science of the <u>science</u> (1 items); then a science of the science of the <u>science</u> (1 items); then a science of the science of the science of the <u>science</u> (1 items); then a science of the science of	Standarduzzation Sample Total Sample = 570 Mean age: 69 years (range, 10–87 years) Sample negreemend evidences of a devidepmental current in corrant Louisiana. MR status breakdown included wirdprogrammal current in corrant Louisiana. MR status breakdown included T/2% with after the second status breakdown included moderate MR, 14.2% with severe MR, 72% with proformal MR, and 6.7% with severity of MR unspecified.	Psychometric Properties Tes/Rests Reliability: 7.2 for the entire scale; averaging 59 for the categories/factor (ngp. 26-70) Internal consistency: 68 for the entire scale averaging 68 for the categories/factors) Cross reter reliability: 71 for the entire scale averaging 68 for the categories/factors (range 25-81)								

Description	Standardization Sample	Psychometric Properties
18 item sciele designed to evaluate the feeding problem susceitated with ASD. In addition to an overall score, three factors are isolated for hinther analysis- metric and the science of the science of the science of the science foods at each mean? 2.) Food refinal (5 items): Items assess enjection of food presented by caretyrehan- eanen, my child closes his/ster month tightly when food is presented). 3.) Features of antiam (5 items): Items assess behavioral dimension, sufficientions, self-injuritous behavior, rigid behavior patterns).	Total Sample = 108 Age maps: 3 to 11 years (M = 6.07 years, SD = 2.48) Subgroups- 1) Autism Groups (n = 68): Children with a cregover-seported diagnosis of Autistic Divorder Pervasive Developmental Disorder, Not Otherwise Specified 2.) Non-clinical (n = 40): Typically developing children	Internal Consistency: S& for the total core; 3.71 for the Limited Variety incr, 7.6 for the Food Refusal AS for the Feasures of Aution Tactor Tast/Retest Reliability: S7 for the total accor Criterior-erland validity: EMMBI total frequency score-cordiated significantly with DPFAS Construct validity: BAMBI scores significantly higher among children with antism compared to the non-clinical sample

Г

٦

BAMBI	he follow	vino	iten	15.20	cordi	ng to ho	w often
The above meaning of the years scale: Never/Rarely Seldom Oceasionally 1 2 3 irrele YES if you think an item is a problem for you or NO if you thin	Ofter 4 ik it is no	n otaj	orob	At A lem.	lmos	t Every 5	Meal
. My child cries or screams during mealtimes.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
. My child turns his/her face or body away from food.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
. My child remains seated at the table until the meal is finished.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
. My child expels (spits out) food that he/she has eaten.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
 My child is aggressive during mealtimes (hitting, kicking, scratching others). 	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
 My child displays self-injurious behavior during mealtimes (hitting self, biting self). 	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
. My child is disruptive during mealtimes (pushing/throwing utensils, food).	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
. My child closes his/her mouth tightly when food is presented.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
. My child is flexible about mealtime routines (e.g., times for meals, seating arrangements, place settings).	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO
0. My child is willing to try new foods.	1	2	3	4	5	YES	NO



Estimates of Nutrient Intake • 2 General Categories (see Buzzard, 1998, Posner et al., 1992, and/or Willet, 1998 for a review): • 1.) Identify specific foods and quantities consumed within a certain period of time • 24 hour recall • Caregiver to record all foods consumed for one or more days, including the quantity of intake measured in weight or volume • Food Diary • In-depth interview conducted by a trained dietary observer





Food Preference Inventory

Directions: Circle how willing your child is to eat each of these foods most times the food is offered. If the child eats other foods not included here, write them in the blanks below.

How willing is your child to eat these foods?

NA- Not eaten by family or not offered due to a restricted diet (e.g., food allergy); Never- Refuses to eat when presented at meals. With Prodding- Will eat occasionally or with encouragement from caregivers; Willing- Eats on a regular basis without difficulty; Favorite- Actively seeks out this food and requests it frequently

Food			Willingness to Ea	<u>t</u>	
Apple	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Apple Juice	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Applesauce	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Apricots	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Avocado	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Banana	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Banana	NA	Never	With Prodding	Willing	Favorite
Chips					

	Food Frequency Inventory				
Food	ood How Often Is it Consumed?				
Fruits					
Apple	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Apple Juice	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Applesauce	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Apricots	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Avocado	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Banana	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Banana Chips	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Berries	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Cantaloupe	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Cherries	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Cranberry Sauce	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Cranberry Juice	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Fruit Cocktail	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Grapefruit	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Grapefruit Juice	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Grapes	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Grape Juice	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Honeydew	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Kiwi	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Lemonade	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Mango	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Nectarine	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Oranges	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Orange Juice	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Peaches	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Pear	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Pineapple	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Plums	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Prunes	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Prune Juice	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X per week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day
Strawberry	Never	At least 1X per month	At least 1X ner week	At least 1X per day	Many Xs per day



Marcus

Г

Estimates of Nutrient Intake: Pros/Cons

- Food Preference Inventory
 - Pros:
 - · General assessment of intake patterns
 - · Easy to administer
 - · Less time consuming
 - · Cons:
 - · Sacrifices the collection of more precise of dietary information







Marcus AUTISM CENTER		Methods
	Study 1	Study 2
Description of Participants	Children with an ASD 31 parent child dyads Recruited at MAC in Atlanta, GA (23M, 8F)	Children with an ASD 7 parent child dyads Recruited in Binghamton, NY (7M)
Measures	BAMBI Food Preference Inventory Social Responsive Scale (SRS)	BAMBI Food Preference Inventory PDD Behavior Inventory
Child Behavior Observations	Acceptance Combined Inappropriate Behaviors (CI's) Negative Vocalizations Out of Seat	Acceptance Combined Inappropriate Behaviors (CI's) Negative Vocalizations Out of Seat
Parent Feeding Behaviors	Accuracy of protocol implementation	Frequency and duration of food presentations, Verbal behavior (reprimands, coaxing, praise etc).

Marcus AUTISM CENTER		Methods
	Study 1	Study 2
Description of Participants	Children with an ASD 31 parent child dyads Recruited at MAC in Atlanta, GA (23M, 8F)	Children with an ASD 7 parent child dyads Recruited in Binghamton, NY (7M)
Measures	BAMBI Food Preference Inventory Social Responsive Scale (SRS)	BAMBI Food Preference Inventory PDD Behavior Inventory
Child Behavior Observations	Acceptance Combined Inappropriate Behaviors (CI's) Negative Vocalizations Out of Seat	Acceptance Combined Inappropriate Behaviors (CI's) Negative Vocalizations Out of Seat
Parent Feeding Behaviors	Accuracy of protocol implementation	Frequency and duration of food presentations, Verbal behavior (reprimands, coaxing, praise etc).

Marcus AUTISM CENTER		Methods
	Study 1	Study 2
Description of Participants	Children with an ASD 31 parent child dyads Recruited at MAC in Atlanta, GA (23M, 8F)	Children with an ASD 7 parent child dyads Recruited in Binghamton, NY (7M)
Measures	BAMBI Food Preference Inventory (Preference) Social Responsive Scale (SRS)	BAMBI Food Preference Inventory (Frequency) PDD Behavior Inventory
Child Behavior Observations	Acceptance Combined Inappropriate Behaviors (CI's) Negative Vocalizations Out of Seat	Acceptance Combined Inappropriate Behaviors (CTs) Negative Vocalizations Out of Seat
Parent Feeding Behaviors	Accuracy of protocol implementation	Frequency and duration of food presentations, Verbal behavior (reprimands, coaxing, praise etc).

AUTISM CENTER	Protocol	Considerations
	Study 1	Study 2
Foods	Standardized (researcher provided)	Standardized (researcher provided)
Texture	Table (¼" x ¼") and puree	Table (¼" x ¼")
Bolus size	2-pea	1-pea (1 piece)
Feeder	Parents presented; Child Self-Fed	Parents presented; Child Self-Fed
Length of Meal/ # of presentations	24 presentations	About 8 minutes 16 foods (30s each food)
Setting	Laboratory	Laboratory
Persistence with Bites	Standardized with script and bug in the ear	Parent instructed to persist as normal for family

Marcus	Protocol	Considerations
	Study 1	Study 2
Foods	Standardized (researcher provided)	Standardized (researcher provided)
Texture	Table (¼" x ¼") and puree	Table (¼" x ¼")
Bolus size	2-pea	1-pea (1 piece)
Feeder	Parents presented; Child Self-Fed	Parents presented; Child Self-Fed
Length of Meal/ # of presentations	24 presentations	About 8 minutes 16 foods (30s each food)
Setting	Laboratory	Laboratory
Persistence with Bites	Standardized with script and bug in the ear	Parent instructed to persist as normal for family





Marcus	Results
	High percentage of parent participants indicated concern about their child's feeding in both studies •Study 1: 89% reported concerns with feeding •Study 2: 91% of parents reported some degree of concern with their child's mealtime behavior
57	

	Study 1	Study 2
Accepted Bites	40%	49.1%
Percent of Session Out of Seat	27.3%	19.4%
Foods with CI's*	43.0%	22.7%
Percent of Session with Negative Vocalizations	3.6%	11.6%
* CI's = combined inapp disruption of the food pr	propriate behaviors (i.e., resentation)	head turns or

	Food Preference Inventory Foods Never Consumed		
	Behavior (Observation	
	% of foods Accepted	% of foods with CI's	
Study 1	r =528, p<.005	r = .419, p<.05	
Study 2	r =832, p<.05	ns	



	Food Preference Inventory Foods Never Consumed			
	Behavior (Observation		
	% of foods Accepted	% of foods with CI's		
Study 1	r =528, p<.005	r = .419, p<.05		
Study 2	r =832, p<.05	ns		



















			Treatm	ent Outco	mes
PND, NAP and Effect Si	ze Values by Deper	ident Variable			\frown
Dependent Variable	# Contributing Studies (%)	# Contributing Participants (%)	Mean PND (Standard Deviation) n = 109*	Mean NAP (Standard Deviation) n = 109*	Effect Size (d) n = 106*
Acceptance (Percent)	n = 29 (60.4%)	n = 54 (56.3%)	87.87 (31.63)	.97 (.09)	2.598
Acceptance (Frequency)	n = 6 (12.5%)	n = 17 (17.7%)	88.8 (24.8)	.98 (.04)	2.698
Swallowing (Percent)	n = 11 (22.9%)	n = 22 (22.9%)	81.75 (36.04)	.91 (.20)	1.81
Swallowing (Frequency)	n = 2 (4.2%)	n = 7 (7.3%)	98.85 (3.27)	.98 (.03)	2.88
Volume	n = 6 (12.5%)	n = 9 (9.4%)	95.40 (5.5)	.97 (.03)	2.89
Total	n = 54	n = 109*	87.95 (29.54)	.96 (.12)	2.46
Note. PND = Percent of Nanoverlapp	ing Data; NAP = Nonoverlap	of All Pairs; "Data for some	articipants contributed to more that	one dependent variable.	

























Marcus NUTISM CENTER	References
	 Emond, A., Emmett, P., Steer, C., & Golding, J. (2010). Feeding symptoms, dietary patterns, and growth in young children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>Pediatrics</i>, 126 (2), 337-342.
	 Field, D., Garland, M., & Williams, K. (2003). Correlates of specific childhood feeding problems. Journal of Pediatrics and Child Health, 39, 299-304.
	 Finney, J. W. (1986). Preventing common feeding problems in infants and young children. Pediatric Clinics of North America, 33, 775-798.
	 Herndon A. C., DiGuiseppi C., Johnson S. L., Leiferman J., Reynolds A. (2009) Does nutritional intake differ between children with autism spectrum disorders and children with typical development? J Autism Dev Disord, 39, 212-222.
	 Ho, H.H., & Eaves, L.C. (1997) Nutrient intake and obesity in children with autism. Focus Autism Other Dev Disabl, 12, 187-193.
	 Johnson, C.R., Handen, B.L., Mayer-Costa, M., & Sacco, K. (2008)., Eating habits and dietary status in young children with autism. J Dev Phys Diabil, 20, 437-448.
	 Kanner, L. (1943). Autistic disturbances of affective contact. Nervous Child, 2, 217–250.
	 Kerwin, M. E. (1999). Empirically supported treatments in pediatric psychology: severe feeding problems. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 24, 193-214.
	 Kerwin, M.E., Eicher, P.S., & Gelsinger, J. (2005). Parental report of eating problems and gastrointestinal symptoms in children with pervasive developmental disorders. <i>Children's Health Care</i>, 34(3), 221-234.
	 Klein U., & Nowak A. J. (1999). Characteristics of patients with autistic disorder (AD) presenting for dental treatment: A survey and chart review. Spec Care Dentist, 19, 200-207.
	 Laud, R. B., Girolami, P. A., Boscoe, J. H., & Gulotta, C. S. (2009). Treatment outcomes for severe feeding problems in children with autism spectrum disorder. <i>Behavior Modification</i>, 33, 520-536.
	 Ledford, J. R., & Gast, D. L. (2006). Feeding problems in children with autism spectrum disorders: A review. Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities, 21, 153-166.
	 Levy, S., Souders, R., Ittenbach, E., Giarelli, A., Mulberg, J., & Pinto-Martin, J. (2007). Relationship of dietary intake to gastrointestinal symptoms in children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>Biological Psychiatry</i>, 61, 492-497.
	 Lockner, D.W., Crowe, T.K., & Skipper, B.J. (2008). Dietary intake and parents' perception of mealtime behaviors in
85	preschool-age children with autism spectrum disorders and in typically developing children. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 108, 1360-1363.

	References
	 Lukens, C. T., & Linscheid, T. R. (2008). Development and validation of an inventory to assess mealtime behavior problems in children with autism. <i>Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders</i>. 38, 342–352.
	 Manikam, R., & Perman, J. (2000). Pediatric feeding disorders. Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology, 30, 34-46.
	 Martins, Y., Young, R.L., Robson, D.C. (2008). Feeding and eating behaviors in children with autism and typically developing children. <i>Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders</i>, 38, 1878-1887.
	 Matson, J. L., & Fodstad, J. C. (2008). The treatment of food selectivity and other feeding problems in children with autism spectrum disorders. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 3, 455–461.
	 Matson, J.L., Fodstad, J.C., & Dempsey, T. (2009). The relationship of children's feeding problems to core symptoms of autism and PDD-NOS. Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders, 3, 759-766.
	 Matson, J. L., & Kuhn, D. E. (2001). Identifying feeding problems in mentally retarded persons: Development and reliability of the Screening Tool of fleeding Problems (STEP). Research in Developmental Disabilities, 22, 165–172.
	 Mayes, L., & Volkmar, F. (1993). Nosology of eating and growth disorders in early childhood. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 2, 15-25.
	 Nadon, G., Feldman, D.E., Dunn, W., & Gisel, E. (2011). Mealtime problems in children with autism spectrum disorders and their typically developing siblings: A comparison study. <i>Autism</i>, 15(1), 98-113.
	 Piazza, C. C., Fisher, W.W., Brown, K.A., Shore, B. A., Patel, M. R., Katz, R.M., et al., (2003). Functional Analysis of Inappropriate Mealtime Behaviors. <i>Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis</i>, 36, 187-204.
	 Posner, B.M., Smigelski, C., Duggal, A., Morgan, J.L., Cobb, J., & Cupples, L.A. (1992). Validation of two-dimensional models for estimation of portion size in nutrition research. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 92, 738-741.
	 Provost, B., Crowe, T.K., Osbourn, P.L., McClain, C., & Skipper, B.J. (2010). Mealtime behaviors of preschool children: Comparison of children with autism spectrum disorder and children with typical development. <i>Physical & Occupational Theory in Polizitics</i>, 303, 220 – 233.
	 Raiten D.J., & Massaro T. (1986). Perspectives on the nutritional ecology of autistic children. J Autism Dev Disord, 16, 133- 143.
	 Ritvo, E. M., & Freeman, B. J. (1978). National society for autistic children definition of the syndrome of autism. <i>Journal of Autism and Childhood Schizophrenia</i>, 8, 162–170.
86	 Sanders, M. R., Patel, R. K., Le Grice, B., & Shepherd, R. W. (1993). Children with persistent feeding difficulties: An observational analysis of the feeding interaction of problem and non-problem eaters. <i>Health Psychology</i>, 12, 64-73.

Marcus AUTISM CENTER	References
	 Schreck, K.A., & Williams, K. (2000). Food preferences and factors influencing food selectivity for children with autism spectrum diorders. <i>Research in Developmental Distability</i>, <i>17</i>, 353-363. Schreck, K.A., Williams, K.A., Smith, A.F. (2004). A comparison of anity behavior between children with and without autism. <i>Bornal of Anits and Developmental Distability</i>, <i>18</i>, 13-343. Schmitt, J., <i>Heise, C., Campold</i> EL (2007). A comparison of matrix-initiate and anity thehavior of borys with and without a strains. <i>Bornal of Anits and Developmental Distability</i>, <i>18</i>, 13-343. Schmitt, J., <i>Heise, C., Campold</i> EL (2007). A comparison of matrix-initiate and aning behaviors of borys with and without Stars and the comparison of the strains and strains. <i>Bornal and Levelopmental Distability</i>, <i>18</i>, 13-343. Schmitt, J., <i>Heise, C., Campold</i> EL (2007). A comparison of matrix-initial behaviors of borys with and without solutions. <i>Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review</i>, 13(4), 348-355. Sharg, W., Janges, D., Moron, J., & Hitt, A. (2011). A Retroportive Chart Review of Dietary Diversity and Feeding Behaviors of Children with Antima Spectrum Disorder Before and After Admission to a Day Teatment Program. <i>Freusa on Anitan and Other Development Disobilities</i>, 12(1), 27-44. Whiteley, P. (2007), J. & Santock, C. (2007). Complexing patterns in anitim. <i>Actim.</i>, <i>4</i>, 307-211. Willett, W. (1998), Food frequency methods. Is W. Willett (EL), Nurrisolant Beidemindary (Zaleel, pp. 50-7). New York: Oxford Children Press, A. (2007). Complexing habitos of children with antivino developmental disabilities. <i>Journal of Development Disobilities</i>, <i>1</i>, 229-364. Willams, P.G. Dalpomplet, N.R. (2007). Daning habitos children with antivin three darkinder developmental disabilities. <i>Journal of Development Disobilities</i>, <i>17</i>, 299-309.
87	